

# DSO in central and SE Europe: Lessons and Recommendations

Tanja Kerševan Smokvina Agency for Communication Networks and Services of the Republic of Slovenia

Digitalisation for Freedom of the Media, Tbilisi, 30 Sept. – 1. Oct. 2015



# **Factors influencing DSO**

- Size and structure of TV market
- Dependence on terrestrial television
- Specifics of the legislation
- Technical aspects
- Geomorphology
- Climatic conditions
- Sociodemographic factors









#### **Critical success factors**

Good planning

Technical and procedural

Communication

Inclusive, constant, targeted awarness raising

Help scheme

Vulnerable groups (STB purchase, installation, tunning) Broadcasters (simulcasting)





# Planning the digital switchover

• EU, CoE, EBU, OSCE:

Transition strategy needed

• Problems:

Abstract, lacking operative content Unrealistic target dates for ASO

• Recommendations:

Permanent monitoring of DSO progress Strategy revised and updated regularly



#### Spectrum allocation

- Good frequency plan is essential
- Frequency rights to MUX operators should be allocated separately from 'analogue' frequency rights – but special attention to 'historical' broadcasters
- In some countries broadcasters operated without 'digital' frequency rights – a transparent path for possible legalisation required
- International agreements on frequency use should be respected (GE06)



# Selection of multiplex operators

- The role of MUX operators should be clearly separated from those of programme providers to prevent vertical concentration and bottlenecks
- NRAs have the main role in selection of MUX operators (manage public tenders)
- Beauty contest vs. auction; main selection criteria should be stipulated in legislation to prevent the arbitrariness / discrimination
- Special rules for PBS possible (ex lege frequency rights, must-carry obligations)



#### Selection of content providers

- Platform and content licences should be kept separate
- Content licences similar as in analogue broadcasting (without frequency rights)
- 2 regulatory approaches:
  Channels selected by MUX operator (IT, AT, HU)
  Channels selected by NRA (SLO, HR)
- Must carry rules (PSB, IT: independent channels)



#### **Content obligations**

- Content focus in MUX operator selection (beauty contest): e.g. emphasis on local content (AT: Austria-related content), or in channels selection (SLO: in-house production)
- Must-carry rules for PSB, local channels, services for disabled users, etc.
- It is the quality of the content and not the quantity of content or the quality of the picture that really matters.



# Relations: broadcasters – operators

- Only few transmission facilities: risk of concentration and access obstacles
  - MUX operators should offer fair, transparent and non-discriminatory conditions to all broadcasters;
  - NRA should act in case of market failure,
  - SLO: ex ante regulation via the relevant market 18
- HR, RS, ME: transmission facility operator spun off from PSB



#### Help schemes and measures

- To speed up the transition, motivate consumers to switch, and achieve critical mass of viewers
- Measures: provision of STBs or vouchers for users; tax reduction, frequency fee reduction, subsidies for BC
- Falling prices, increased availability of DTV end-user equipment – general subsidies less relevant
- Organising practical support and assistance schemes (installation, tuning)
- Put in place a call centre (FAQ, pre-recorded messages, hotline for direct answering)
- Practical video-clips with instructions



#### Post-DSO TV distribution in Slovenia

- DTT with cca 16% market share the only one offering FTA television services:
  - 8 channels currently available nationally:
  - 3 public, 4 commercial generalist, 1 music +
  - 3 regional and 6 local channels
- Subscription based services > 80% of the market
- But: CATV constrained by network reach; further adoption of IPTV depends on DSL/FTTH network development; SATV/DTH marginal; implementing LTE
- Recently increased interest of specialized, niche channels



#### Role of regulators in digital environment

- Mission the same (safeguarding public interest, diversity, variety, freedom of expression, fair competition ...)
- But digital environment puts at stake
  - Cultural and societal aims (changing uses, business models, regulatory arbitrage)
  - Industrial / economic objectives (lack of level playing field due to a number of not regulated services)
  - Pluralism, diversity
- And old systems and practices not fit for purpose



#### Role of regulators in digital environment

- The role should be redefined so to better match the challenges of digital media ecosystem
- Strengthen digital capacities and knowledge
- Introduce new approaches: not only protect, but also inform, encourage and help
- Address the role of intermediaries as new gatekeepers and develop suitable remedies
- Explore the ways to influence media quality, accountability and accessibility of various content rather than merely measuring quantity



#### Thanks!