

Access for All – Where are We Now?

**Office of the OSCE Representative on the Freedom of the Media
Conference “Guaranteeing Media Freedom on the Internet”
Amsterdam 27 and 28 August 2004**

Network

- A gate to online information
- Without access there are neither content nor cybercrime problems

2003 ITU Statistics

PCs per 100 inhabitants

OSCE member states (Europe)

■ Albania:	1,17	■ Sweden:	62,13
■ Moldova:	1,75	■ Luxemburg:	59,42
■ Ukraine:	1,90	■ Denmark:	57,68
■ Serbia and Montenegro:	2,71	■ Norway:	52,83

European average: 21,44

2003 ITU Statistics

PCs per 100 inhabitants

OSCE member states (Asia and America)

- Kyrgystan: 1,27
- Armenia: 1,58
- Georgia: 3,16
- United States: 65,89
- Canada: 48,70
- Asian avarage: 4,45
- American avarage: 28,95

2003 ITU Statistics

Users per 10.000 inhabitants

OSCE member states (Europe)

■ Albania:	97,00	■ Sweden:	5.730,74
■ Ukraine:	179,51	■ Netherlands:	5.219,46
■ Bosnia:	262,12	■ Denmark:	5.128,15
■ Moldova:	340,00	■ Finland:	5.089,30
■ Russia:	409,32	■ Norway:	5.026,08

European average: 2.373,19

2003 ITU Statistics

Users per 10.000 inhabitants

OSCE member states (Asia and America)

- Tajikistan: 6,30
- Turkmenistan: 16,55
- Kyrgystan: 156,56
- Uzbekistan: 192,08
- Georgia: 307,60
- Azerbaijan: 368,51
- Armenia: 394,72
- Asian avarage: 674,25
- United States: 5.513,77
- Canada: 5.128,29
- American avarage: 2.592,71

What can we do?

Can we treat access to Internet as...

- Access to Radio?
 - Access to Television?
- or
- Access to Telephone?
 - Access to Mobile phone?
-
- An Universal service?

Even if we don't treat it like that...

- Some of us spend more time online than:
 - Watching TV
 - Listening radio
 - Making phone calls, using either land or mobile lines (have we already switched to VoIP?)
- But there are people, around us, that still haven't heard about the Internet at all!!!

European Union

- Early 1980s - the privatization and the introduction of limited competition in some member states (application of IT increases)
- 1984 - a first phase of Community policy (common development lines)
- 1987 - a second phase of Community policy (liberalization of all telecommunications services and networks by 1 January 1998)

The Commission's White Paper

- On “Growth, Competitiveness and Employment”
- Three instruments were used to liberalize telecoms in the European Community:
 - 1) Progressive liberalization of a former monopoly sector
 - 2) Accompanying harmonization measures
 - 3) Competition rules

The “1998 package”

- So called “old legislative framework” was:
 - designed to manage the transition from monopoly to competition
 - focused on the creation of a competitive market and the rights of new entrants

New, single regulatory framework for all transmission networks

- As a result of the convergence of the telecommunications, media and information technology sectors
- Agreed and applied from July 2003 (with the exception of data protection applied from end October 2003)
- Content services stayed outside the new framework

Universal regulatory framework

- Consists of:
 - Framework Directive
 - Access Directive
 - Authorisation Directive

and so called, “specific Directives” concerning

- Universal service
- The protection of privacy and electronic communications in the telecommunications sector
- Framework for electronic signatures and
- The re-use of public sector information

South East European Process

- Member States of the Stability Pact for South Eastern Europe have signed the “*eSEEurope Agenda for the Development of the Information Society*” in October 2002 in Belgrade
- They accepted to take concrete actions based on the positive experiences of the eEurope and eEurope+ processes towards Information Society

Framework for information society

- The governments took the obligation to:
 - Establish an institutional and legislation framework for ICT-based society
 - Promote liberalization and privatization of electronic communications infrastructure
 - Encourage the regional activities through joint e-Governance, e-Learning, civil society and non-governmental organizations

Why is the information society so important for SEE (or should be)?

- There is no development of the region without it
- To decrease the development gap between the SEE and the European Union, as well as between the countries within the region and with the rest of the world
- That is the only way towards the EU

eSEEurope Member States accepted:

- 1) To adopt the policy and strategy for the development of the Information Society
- 2) To adopt and implement the legal infrastructure for information society
- 3) To establish the regional cooperation and national implementation mechanisms
- 4) To promote the Information Society for development

Nice initiative, but without concrete measures

- SEE doesn't formally exist
- There is a gap between the countries
- Information society is usually not one of the priorities of SEE states
- Lack of infrastructure
- No/ poor legal regulation

What can we do?

Council of Europe

- has 45 member states
- policy oriented
- deals with Internet within the Media division
- has a content approach

It may:

- Involve all member states in drafting process
- Include access to networks as one of the issues
- Observe not only media legislation, but also draft Internet laws
- Organize trainings for judges and prosecutors on Internet content (harmful, illegal, etc.) and Freedom of the Media in the online environment

OSCE

- has 55 member states
- policy oriented
- Internet within the Office of the Freedom of the Media
- Guaranteeing Freedom of the Media on the Internet

It may:

- get more involved in Internet issues, especially through its Field Missions
- initiate/ support building of the Internet infrastructure
- organize trainings for journalists
- organize law enforcement trainings regarding information society

And for the end...

- Access
- Content
- Regulation
- Education

should not be separate, but
parallel processes in every country

▪