





# Media & Big Tech - media vigilance and journalistic protections



- Journalists in Distress network: <https://www.journalistsindistress.org/>
- Helpdesks for security issues
- Against Online Violence cofounded by IMWF and ICF
- WB Safety of Journalists Network: <https://safjournalists.net/>
- Online violence alert and response system project is partnered with the AP, ABC Australia, Rappler and Daily Maverick
- GFMD ran a pilot project with Ukrainian partners called "Tech and Journalism Mechanism" with good data and some experience of what has worked and what not for journalism organisations: <https://gfmd.eu/wp-content/uploads/2023/07/JM-Final-Case-Digest-Gfmd.pdf?14225>, <https://tech-and-journalism-mechanism.gfmd.info/>
- <https://transparency.mta.com/en/governance/tracking>
- Appeals Centre Europe is a new out-of-court dispute settlement body under the Digital Services Act.
- Appeals Centre Europe can protect media content by addressing disputes over unwarranted takedowns and account suspensions.
- It serves as an impartial body that can protect journalists and human rights defenders by resolving disputes in a relatively short period, although initial decisions may take weeks due to system maturity.
- The centre's ability to produce data is crucial for identifying systemic harms across platforms. This data, while not intended for advocacy, can be used by journalists, media organizations, and regulators to hold platforms accountable for their actions, encouraging greater platform responsibility.
- It can be used for cases of content or accounts that are based both in and outside of Europe.
- [https://www.dcu.ie/~lll/admin/user\\_upload/DIVINFORMATIONEN/medienpolitik/Saellungnahmen/DV\\_Saellungnahmen.pdf](https://www.dcu.ie/~lll/admin/user_upload/DIVINFORMATIONEN/medienpolitik/Saellungnahmen/DV_Saellungnahmen.pdf)
- [https://www.dcu.ie/~lll/admin/user\\_upload/DIVINFORMATIONEN/medienpolitik/Saellungnahmen/DV\\_Saellungnahmen.pdf](https://www.dcu.ie/~lll/admin/user_upload/DIVINFORMATIONEN/medienpolitik/Saellungnahmen/DV_Saellungnahmen.pdf)
- This is the case against Meta raising the question about platform liability: <https://hateaid.org/letter-imtms-bgh-grundsatzerfahren-gegen-meta/> <https://hateaid.org/letter-imtms-bgh-grundsatzerfahren-gegen-meta/>

- <https://safejournalists.net/>
- <https://www.ecpmf.eu/monitor/>
- <https://cdi.org/insights/trusted-flaggers-in-the-ds-cchallenges-and-opportunities/>
- <https://ksimshih.org/en/homepage/>
- case against Meta and platform liability: <https://hateaid.org/letter-imtms-bgh-grundsatzerfahren-gegen-meta/>
- Role of law essential for platform governance: <https://www.accessnow.org/platform-accountability-part-1-overview/>
- concrete proposals on how alternatives to the BIG Tech platforms can be strengthened: <https://safjournalists.net/>