

# **Council of Europe's responses to disinformation**

14 May 2021

#### Urška Umek

Head of Media Unit, Information Society
Department



# Why disinformation is a problem

The right to free and fair elections

The right to privacy and reputation

The right to non-discrimination

The right to health

The right to freedom of expression

- Incorrect information may influence the way that individuals vote.
- ➤ Disinformation is often targeting specific individuals and their reputation.
- Disinformation sometimes focuses on particular groups in society, especially refugees and migrants, or ethnic minorities; intentionally or involuntarily inciting violence, discrimination or hostility.
- False information about health and disease prevention can lead to serious risks for people.
- Inappropriate, rash or too restrictive responses to disinformation pose risks to freedom of expression and media freedom.

### What facilitates disinformation

Advances in technology make it easy to create fake images and videos.

The shift of communication and information to the internet has caused a shift of audiences away from the mainstream media to social media.

New gatekeepers of information -

search and social media platforms - exert a strong influence on how individuals are informed and form their opinions.

Fewer media manage public debate and influence public opinion, as the success of the platforms translated into a loss of ad revenue for the media.



Result: Decline of trust in information and media as people consume both established and unreliable news sources without distinction, and become confused what is true and whom to believe.



#### **STATES**

- Often entrust the important task of deliberating "what is true and what false", together with the liability, to search and social media platforms
- Some states have enacted legislation for specific cases of disinformation



#### **PLATFORMS**

- Routinely remove or block content without explaining why, based on "community standards" rules
- There is no independent oversight of their takedown practices



## Standards of accuracy and reliability





# Case law of the European Court of Human Rights

**Lingens v. Austria (1986)** – opinions or value judgments do not need to be supported by facts to enjoy the protection of Article 10 ECHR.

Hertel v. Switzerland (1998, 2002) — states may not prohibit dissemination of minority (scientific) opinions, but it is justified to require that any mention of "scientifically proved results", be accompanied by a reference to "differences of opinion".

**Salov v. Ukraine (2005**) – Article 10 ECHR does not prohibit the dissemination of information, even if it is strongly suspected that such information is not true.

This case law implies that Article 10 offers protection also to statements whose truthfulness can be called into question. This is all the more true when it comes to the dissemination of information during election periods, when the free flow of information is considered essential (Bowman 1998).





## Human rights-compliant approach

**Empowerment** of quality journalism and access to official information

**Empowerment** of media and information literacy skills

**Ensuring** compliance of online platforms

Awareness of artificial intelligence systems manipulative capabilities

- Recommendation on promoting a favourable environment for quality journalism in the digital age
- Convention on Access to Official Documents
- > Study on supporting quality journalism through media and information literacy
- ➤ Recommendation CM/Rec(2018)2 on the roles and responsibilities of internet intermediaries
- Declaration on the manipulative capabilities of algorithmic processes
- Recommendation CM/Rec(2020)1 on the human rights impacts of algorithmic systems



# Recommendation CM/Rec(2018)2 on the role and responsibilities of internet intermediaries

acknowledges the curatorial and editorial roles of various platforms and calls on states to assign to them corresponding responsibilities.

- ✓ states are to ensure that laws and regulations applicable
  to intermediaries effectively safeguard the human rights
  and fundamental freedoms of users.
- ✓ Internet intermediaries have a similar responsibility to conform to international human rights standards. Transparency, oversight and effective remedies are key to human rights-compliant content moderation on the platforms.



**Serious impact of disinformation** on individuals' right to health is a real threat.

**Exceptional circumstances justify exceptional measures** including some restrictions on freedom of expression.

Malicious spreading of disinformation may be tackled with ex-post targeted sanctions.

Media play a key role in this crisis and also has an increased responsibility to provide accurate, reliable information to the public.

Some governments are using the crisis as a pretext to introduce disproportionate restrictions to media freedom.



# Thanks for your attention!



### further resources:

www.coe.int/freedomofexpression

www.coe.int/dataprotection

www.coe.int/Al

Facebook Page
Information Society Group



# COUNCIL OF EUROPE CONSEIL DE L'EUROPE

### 47 MEMBER STATES 47 ÉTATS MEMBRES

