

SIDE EVENT AT THE OSCE ODIHR HDIM 2016 ARMENIA: RIGHT TO PROTEST AND STATE REPRISALS, 2015-2016

Tuesday, 20 September, 2016 13:00-14:45, Meeting Room 2

HDIM.NGO/0542/16/EN 05 October 2016

Artur Sakunts, Chairman of the Helsinki Citizens' Assembly Vanadzor

Tendencies to restrict the areas of civil society activity in Republic of Armenia

The constitutional amendments process was launched by RA President's decree on September 4, 2013, just on the day following Serzh Sargsyan's unilateral decision that Republic of Armenia should join the Customs Union and then the Eurasian Economic Union.

The drafting process of the constitutional amendments involved neither any political forces, nor the civil society, and the term for submitting proposals on the already developed and officially published draft was quite short, only 2 months (the draft was published in parts, the 1st part was published on July 15, 2015, and the whole draft on August 20, 2015). And on August 21, 2015, the draft constitutional amendments were submitted to the RA National Assembly and their discussion took 4 days, September 15-18, whereas the public debates lasted only 1 day.

In the controversial referendum of December 6, 2015, constitutional amendments were adopted and the Republic of Armenia shifted to the parliamentary system of government.

Both the constitutional amendments campaign stage and the referendum day were accompanied by numerous violations of the rights of the voters, initiatives against the constitutional amendments, proxies, observers and mass media representatives, and the investigation into such violations failed to ensure restoration of those rights and prevention of any further violations.

As a result of the amendments, a number of rights and freedoms were restricted.

In his 2nd term in office, Serzh Sargsyan suspended any possibility for change of power, and instead of the principle of balance of powers, the party rule principle was enshrined by granting all the levers of power to the stable political majority in the National Assembly.

By its ruling № 906 of September 7, 2010, the RA Constitutional Court recognized the competence of NGOs to act in RA courts of law as proper plaintiffs of the public interest. However, not only was this ruling not reflected to any extent in the constitutional amendments, but also is obviously ignored in

relevant draft laws, including the RA draft Law on Non-Governmental Organizations, which has been in circulation for about 2 years.

The so-called new constitution also significantly reduced the constitutional mechanisms of human rights protection (RA citizens may not lodge complaints to the Constitutional Court on the legal regulations leading to the violation of a number of their fundamental social, economic and cultural rights).

The constitution failed to provide for any accountability mechanisms for a number of state institutions, especially law enforcement agencies, i.e. prosecutor's office and investigative agencies, which would make it possible for the public and the civil society to conduct effective oversight.

The Electoral Code adopted in May 2016 in a similar logic of reducing participation and civic oversight significantly restricted the powers of independent observers and media representatives. First of all, it set the number of observers and media representatives entitled to stay at polling stations. Then, it set a requirement of accreditation for the media limiting the maximum number of each mass medium representatives. At the same time, both for observing organizations and the mass media, a requirement of 1-year's experience in relevant activities was set which might obstruct the presence of new media and NGOs. The new Electoral Code stipulates that an observer, proxy or media representative may be removed from the polling station by of the votes of the polling station commission, which, of course, can be abused.

As for civil society involvement, the situation with the protection of the freedom of assembly is a clear regress. Obviously, the failure to resolve issues through electoral processes or other legal ways drives people to the streets. At the same time, obviously any means are applied to remove those people from the streets, starting from pressure on individuals going as far as imprisonment and financial penalties.

The comparison of the rates of 2014-2015 revealed that unlike the year of 2014 when the rate of violations of the freedom of assembly made 29, in 2015 this rate already rose to 47. Likewise, the rate of violence and illegal detentions by the police doubled. Thus, in 2014, 106 persons were apprehended in relevance to assemblies; in 2015 this number rose to 488 and in the first 8 months of 2016 it already rose to 908.

It should be noted that the assemblies challenging the priority of Russian Federation in Armenia or those perceived as such faced especially strong counteraction. At the same time, the Russian and pro-Russian media continued to breed hatred against the civil society, civic activists and particularly the defenders of vulnerable groups.

Almost all the events occurring in Armenia were presented by Russia as manifestations of protests against it. And the interventions have gone so far that I. Volinkin, Russian ambassador to RA, simply stated, "All the NGOs acting against the Russian-Armenian friendship should be neutralized."

The most recent obvious fact of oppression of the Armenian civil society was the ban imposed on Stepan Grigoryan, Chairman of Center on Globalization and Regional Cooperation NGO, to enter Russian Federation on August 30, 2016, which was an obviously illegal act.

Actually, the EEU had no positive influence on either the RA or its nationals in terms of both internal and external security, social security and human rights protection. Moreover, the economic crisis deepened, the poverty rate rose to 38%, and the police violence against peaceful rally participants and protesters intensified. The tension in the conflict zone increased which culminated in the hostilities of April 2-5, 2016.

Thus, we hereby state that the Eurasian direction chosen by the RA political leadership after September 3, 2013 has led to increased risks to the civil society activity and restricted its areas and opportunities.

	2013	2014	2015	2016
Number of apprehended persons	245	106	488	908
Number of detained persons	16		5	54