



Aarhus Convention in Austria

- impact for and involvement of civil society -

Mr. Thomas ALGE

Director, OEKOBUERO – Coordination Office of Austrian Environmental Organisations Chair, Justice and Environment/European Network of Environmental Law Organisations





OEKOBUERO

- ▶ Alliance of 16 Austrian environmental NGOs
- Greenpeace, Friends of the Earth, WWF
- Aim to strengthen influence of Austrian environmental movement





Justice and Environment

- European network of NGOs that work in field of environmental law
- Active in 12 EU European states, majority from CEE/SEE
- Aim to protect environment by legal instruments and public participation





Why NGO networks are useful

- Work together in matters of overriding importance
- ▶ Represent joint interests of member organisations
- Having stronger voice towards decision makers
- Share burden of work





Specific aims of OEKOBUERO/Justice and Environment

- Aim to support civil society in public participation
- Aim to have effective legal instruments in place
- ▶ Use legal instruments to protect the environment





Aarhus related experience

- Work on UN-ECE level level (Aarhus, Espoo)
- European ECO-Forum
- Aarhus Convention Compliance Committee (ACCC)
- EU advacocy work
- Various studies on implementation and transposition
- Legal support of civil society
- Litigation in Aarhus cases
- NGO-advocacy work and campaigns





Involvement of civil society implementing the Aarhus Convention in Austria

Since 2005...

- ▶ Convention level: constant consultation by MoE
- Public participation in permitting procedures: comprehensive and transparent process
- ▶ Environmental Information: no problematic issue
- Plans and programmes: No comprehensive consultation
- Access to Justice (Art 9/3): no consultation, no implementation





Environmental Information

- Very precise EU-directive
- Transposed almost perfectly in Austria
- National consensus that useful instrument vs fear in the 1990's
- Useful tool in practice
- Problem with Access to Justice
- ACCC case and EU procedure pending
- Conclusion: Important and useful tool





Public Participation and Access to Justice in permitting procedures

- Comprehensive consultation before transposition
- Transposition basically well done
- Next to NGO also citizen group standing (in certain procedures)
- Access to Justice for NGOs formally in best practice manner
- Problems with Justice in screening procedures
- Problems with motorway and rail projects, cured by new court-laws

Conclusion:

- Effective and useful tool
- Used by civil society only in selected cases
- Frustration in transport projects





Public Participation and Access to Justice in planning procedures

- No comprehensive consultation
- Fragmented transposition in approx 40 laws, no consistant approach
- Weak EU laws
- Public participation too late (not "early and effective")
- No Access to Justice
- Some very positive practical examples that went beyond law on voluntary base)
- **Conclusion:** Room for improvement





General Access to Justice (Article 9 par 3)

- No consultation, no implementation
- Confirmed by Aarhus Compliance Committee (March 2012), EU procedure pending on some aspects (since March 2013)
- Political deadlock: blocked by administration and parliament
- Fear and loathing: unjustified, proved by various studies and experience in Austria in other sectors
- Conclusion: Urgent need for improvement





Finally ...

- Convention is
 - Unique and of
 - o unimaginable value for European civil society, the environment and democracy
- More transparent and fair decisions
- Austria
 - Legal system without Aarhus rights is unthinkable
 - Baseline is there
 - Plans and programmes: high potential for better decisions
 - Access to Justice: will come, nothing to argue





Thank you for your attention!

Thomas Alge

OEKOBUERO/Justice and Environment

Volksgartenstraße 1

1010 Vienna

E-Mail: thomas.alge@oekobuero.at

www.oekobuero.at

www.justiceandenvironment.org