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## I. Introduction

Since 2001, the Special Representative on Gender Issues of the OSCE Parliamentary Assembly (OSCE PA) has issued an annual report, as well as a study of the OSCE's gender disaggregated statistics.

Considering the OSCE's gender disaggregated statistics, the 2014 Gender Report concludes that despite continuing efforts the 2004 OSCE Gender Action Plan has had only little discernible success so far. On the organizational level, progress in terms of increasing gender balance is stagnating: overall female representation in the OSCE has remained the same, with only slight increases of the gender balance in certain segments. On a positive note, the 2013 Annual Session and the 2014 Winter Meeting of the Parliamentary Assembly have seen the highest number of female participants in the last ten years.

Today, although comprehensive gender-related commitments have been put in place in OSCE structures and in most participating States, their implementation is often lagging behind. More needs to be done to translate commitments on paper into positive effects on the ground.

## II. Gender in the OSCE Governmental Institutions

The progress of gender balance throughout the OSCE governmental structures-discussed in the following pages- is measured by statistics published in the November 2013 Secretary General's Annual Evaluation Report on the Implementation of the 2004 OSCE Action Plan for the Promotion of Gender Equality. ${ }^{1}$

The OSCE maintains a staff of 2,430 , with women representing 46 per cent of the total workforce. Compared to the previous reporting period, the number of women in all positions remained the same. Women continue to be under-represented in senior management positions (34 per cent in 2013) compared to their overall representation within the general service and professional staff sector (46 and 48 per cent respectively). ${ }^{2}$


[^0]The gender balance within the Heads of Missions and Heads of Institutions has slightly shifted since the last reporting period, with Astrid Thors being appointed High Commissioner on National Minorities on 20 August 2013. Dunja Mijatović continues to hold the office of OSCE Representative on Freedom of the Media, as does Ambassador Madina Jarbussynova as OSCE Project Co-ordinator in Ukraine. In addition, Ambassador Natalia Zarudna continues to serve as Head of the OSCE Center in Astana while Jennifer Leigh Brush heads the OSCE Mission to Moldova. These appointments add up to eight women who have headed OSCE Field Operations since their establishment, in contrast to over 110 men.

Within the Secretariat and Institutions female representation among professional posts has slightly increased from the reported 41 per cent in 2012 to 42 per cent in 2013. However, the Secretariat and Institutions have seen a small decrease in the number of women holding management positions, with 14 out of a total of 38 positions now held by women. ${ }^{3}$

Since the last reporting period, female representation within the ranks of international professional staff in the field operations has remained stable ( 50 per cent), while their number has seen an increase in the Higher Management field operations staff category. Representation rose from 27 per cent in 2012 to 32 per cent in 2013.

Among the field operations with the highest number of seconded staff, the OSCE Missions to Kosovo and to Bosnia and Herzegovina remain the two most consistently gender-balanced presences with women representing 41 and 43 per cent of the overall seconded positions respectively. They are followed by the OSCE Mission to Skopje with 36 per cent of positions held by women there.

However, compared to last year, these missions have also seen a decline in their proportion of female staff. The figures dropped by 6 per cent in Kosovo, by 4 per cent in Bosnia and Herzegovina and by 3 per cent in Skopje. ${ }^{4}$

[^1]On the other end of the gender balance spectrum, however, the OSCE field presence in Bishkek is lagging far behind, with 21 per cent of positions held by women, which represents another 2 per cent decline compared to last year.


The number of female Deputy Heads of Mission has increased to 5. The Deputy Head of Mission at the OSCE Mission to Bosnia and Herzegovina, the OSCE Mission to Kosovo, the OSCE Mission to Serbia, the OSCE Mission to Skopje, and the OSCE Centre in Astana are all female.


## a. OSCE Secretariat

In total, women represent 52 per cent of the OSCE Secretariat workforce in Vienna, which is a one per cent increase since last year's reporting. The proportion of women in the $\mathrm{P}+$ category has remained the same between 2012 and 2013 (40\%). Currently women occupy two out of the 8 high level ( $\mathrm{D}+$ ) positions. ${ }^{5}$

As detailed in last year's report, overall, men remain in the majority among P-level positions with a representation of 60 per cent, while women make up 64 per cent of the G-level workforce.


## b. Office for Democratic Institutions and Human Rights (ODIHR)

The Office for Democratic Institutions and Human Rights (ODIHR) has seen an increase in the number of its female employees in the $\mathrm{P}+$ category, which rose from 46 to 52 per cent. Notwithstanding the fact that the relative proportion of women in the G and S categories

[^2]decreased, from 71 to 68 per cent in the G category and from 75 to 55 in the $S$ category, the overall gender balance in the OSCE/ODIHR has remained positive. ${ }^{6}$


## c. Office of the High Commissioner on National Minorities (HCNM)

Since 2011, the Office of the High Commissioner on National Minorities has tipped the scales in favor of the overall percentage of women working within the commission. Currently 57 per cent of the workforce is represented by female employees, which represents a three per cent decline compared to last year.

In addition, when breaking down the numbers by position, men continue to dominate the upper echelons of the P-level positions holding 10 out of 13 positions. On the other hand, all positions within the G-level pay-grade are occupied by women. This further emphasizes the gender inequality among high-ranking positions within HCNM and on a larger scale with in the OSCE in its entirety. ${ }^{7}$ On a more positive note, on 20 August 2013, Astrid Thors took office as the new High Commissioner on National Minorities.

[^3]
## d. Office of the Representative on Freedom of the Media

With 62 per cent of its posts occupied by women, the Office of the Representative on Freedom of the Media (ROFM) has been highlighted, for a number of years, as the most gender-aware Institution within the OSCE and one of the two Institutions of the OSCE headed by a woman. While relatively small with only 12 posts, the Office can be cited as an example in terms of its overall respect of the gender balance, including in the P+ category where women hold 80 per cent of posts. Compared to last year, this percentage represents a 23 per cent increase. ${ }^{8}$

## e. Seconded Posts in the Secretariat, Institutions and Field Operations

As denoted in the Secretary General's Annual Report, the seconded staff positions within the Secretariat, Institutions, and Field Operations are not classified and therefore not included in the standard system of grading. In 2013, 406 staff members, 44 less than in 2012, were seconded by 44 participating States of the OSCE.

Surprisingly, when evaluating the gender balance of seconded positions by country, Iceland, Japan, and Switzerland fall in the same category as Tajikistan, Kyrgyzstan, Belarus, and Malta, with zero female representation among seconded staff. This is in stark contrast to countries such as Latvia, Croatia, Armenia, and Romania, whose representatives are entirely female.

Italy, Spain, Austria, and the United States of America have seconded the largest number of female staff in 2013, with the numbers varying from 23 women for the USA to 9 for Spain and Austria.

Overall, there is a 37 per cent female representation within the seconded staff of the OSCE, which constitutes a two per cent decrease from the previous reporting year. ${ }^{9}$

[^4]
## f. Field Operations: Gender Balance of Local Staff

The number of locals staffing field operations varies according to the size of the operation and its mandate. The OSCE Mission to Kosovo continues to be the largest staffed field operation within the OSCE with 424 local staff. 31 per cent of the overall workforce of the OSCE Mission to Kosovo is female. Although this constitutes a one per cent increase since the last reporting period, this percentage remains the lowest of local female staff within a field operation.

With 189 women ( 55 per cent) out of 346 local staff, the OSCE Mission to Bosnia and Herzegovina, the second largest field operation, is well-balanced in terms of gender distribution.

However, in addition to the OSCE Mission in Kosovo, the presences in Ashgabat, Bishkek, Tajikistan, Skopje and Uzbekistan need to make additional efforts to balance their local staff numbers gender-wise.


## g. Gender in OSCE Documents

Annually, the Secretary General of the OSCE presents the Evaluation Report on the Implementation of the 2004 OSCE Action Plan for the Promotion of Gender Equality. The reports provided by the OSCE field operations in 2013 show that efforts continue to be taken to mainstream gender perspectives in projects across all three dimensions. However, as in previous years, the initiatives to integrate a gender perspective in projects were observed primarily in the human dimension of the OSCE's work. Nonetheless, a growing number of field operations have focused on integrating a gender perspective in the second and first dimensions.

Most of the results achieved in countries hosting field operations are linked to the adoption of legislative frameworks on gender equality, implementation of existing frameworks, promotion of participation of women as candidates for elective office and support for prevention of violence against women.

## III. Gender in the OSCE PA

During the Vilnius Annual Session 2009, the Standing Committee amended the OSCE PA's Rules of Procedure, agreeing to introduce a new sub-clause to Rule 1 stating that "each national Delegation should have both genders represented." In 2011 the OSCE Parliamentary Assembly adopted a resolution on "Women's representation at the OSCE Parliamentary Assembly" calling on national parliaments to improve the representation of women in the OSCE PA national delegations.

The Resolution noted with concern that as of February 2011, 17 national delegations to the OSCE PA were comprised of men only, that only ten women were Heads of delegation and that out of the 307 MPs only 73 ( $23.7 \%$ ) were women.

Since then, positive efforts have been made by the national delegations to improve gender balance within the Parliamentary Assembly, though not all countries are in compliance yet.

## a. Member Directory Statistics ${ }^{10}$

As of February 2014, there is an overall male majority within the OSCE Parliamentary Assembly, with 365 men and 173 women ( 32 per cent). ${ }^{11}$

[^5]

The majority of regular Members of the OSCE PA (71 per cent) and Alternate Members (76 per cent) are men, holding a combined number of 322 out of 443 positions. Compared to the statistics provided in last year's report, no major changes in female representation within the Assembly can be recorded. However, compared to the data provided in the 2011 Resolution, female representation in the OSCE PA Member category has grown from 23.7 to 29 per cent over the last three years.

Women outnumber men within the Secretaries sector. Among the OSCE PA Secretaries of Delegations, 45 out of 75 are women; representing a 60 to 40 per cent gender distribution. ${ }^{12}$

## b. Initiative to Boost Women's Participation

Efforts undertaken by the national delegations to comply with Article 1.4 of the OSCE PA Rules of Procedure have led to a significant decrease in the number of delegations in which men are the only incumbents. The number dropped from 17 in 2011 to 10 in 2012 and 2013, and 9 in $2014 .{ }^{13}$

[^6]15 women ${ }^{14}$ are currently heading the national delegations, which represents a 4 per cent improvement compared to 2013.

## c. Gender in the Assembly Bureau

The Bureau is composed of the President, nine Vice-Presidents, the Treasurer and the President Emeritus, as well as three Officers of each of the General Committees. The Bureau is currently comprised of 20 members- 9 of whom are female- providing for a 55 per cent to 45 per cent ratio in favor of men. These numbers comply with the targeted goal of 30 per cent established in 2011 by the OSCE PA's Special Representative on Gender Issues, Dr. Hedy Fry. ${ }^{15}$


[^7]
## d. Female Presidents and Vice-Presidents in the OSCE PA

The statistics regarding female Presidents and Vice-Presidents have improved since the previous reporting year. There are currently four female Vice-Presidents, Isabel Pozuelo (Spain), Doris Barnett (Germany), Vilija Aleknaite Abramikiene (Lithuania), and Walburga Habsburg Douglas (Sweden). ${ }^{16}$

## e. Officers of the OSCE PA General Committees

The overall gender balance of the General Committees has shifted since the last reporting period. Out of 9 committee officer posts, 5 are currently held by women.

## f. Participation in the OSCE PA Meetings

The following charts show the general attendance at the OSCE PA's Meetings and the percentage of female Members of Parliament who participated.

The 2013 Annual Session observed an increase in female participation compared to the previous reporting period (from 25 to 28 per cent). Over the last six years, the numbers have leaped from 17 per cent in 2007 to 28 per cent in $2013 .{ }^{17}$

[^8]

The overall percentage of female participation in the OSCE Winter Meetings has increased as well, ${ }^{18}$ with the 2013 Winter Meeting seeing the highest number of female participants in the last ten years ( 30 per cent). ${ }^{19}$


[^9]
## g. Participation in the OSCE PA Election Monitoring 2012-2013 ${ }^{20}$

The graph below shows the Assembly's female Members' participation in election observations missions over the 2012-2013 period ${ }^{21}$ :


The figures concerning female participation in OSCE PA election monitoring show that over the 2013-2014 period the highest number of women participated in election monitoring in Hungary ( 42.1 per cent), Tajikistan ( 40 per cent) and in the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia ( 39.1 per cent). The calculations exclude Staff of Delegation and Secretariat personnel.

The average female participation in election observation for the 2013-2014 period is 26.1 per cent, which represents an almost 10 per cent increase compared to the previous reporting

[^10]period. However, women are slightly underrepresented in the election observation leadership category. Out of the 10 election observations listed, four - Azerbaijan, Tajikistan, Hungary and the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia - were led by a female Head of Delegation.

## h. Permanent Staff of the OSCE PA International Secretariat

The permanent staff of the OSCE PA International Secretariat, including the Vienna Liaison Office, is comprised of 19 individuals of whom 7 are women. The two appointed Deputy Secretaries General are men. The current office of the OSCE PA Secretary General is also held by a man.

## i. The International Research Fellowship Programme

The International Secretariat of the OSCE Parliamentary Assembly has a Research Fellowship Programme in which it engages graduate students for a period of six-months each to gain practical experience in the field of international affairs.

There are currently four research fellows working at the International Secretariat in Copenhagen, and three in the Vienna Liaison Office- four women and three men.

## j. Female Representation in National Parliaments of OSCE Countries

According to the data provided by the Inter-Parliamentary Union, overall female representation in national parliaments of OSCE countries has decreased since the last reporting period. If in 2013 the OSCE countries were ranked between 2 and 118 (on the global scale), the 2014 rankings show a regression by 5 points (rankings between 2 and 124).

Within the OSCE participating States, those with the least amount of female representation within national parliaments are Hungary with only 9.4 per cent of women parliamentarians
within its "Lower or single House", as well as Ukraine, Armenia, Georgia, and Cyprus, all of which maintain a ratio of between 9.7 and 12.5 per cent. ${ }^{22}$

Collectively, female representation among national Parliaments within the OSCE region is 24.4 per cent, combining Upper House or Senate and Single or Lower House parliamentarians. The number drops to 23.2 per cent if the Nordic countries are excluded. ${ }^{23}$

## IV. Annexes

## Table 1

| Post Distribution of Staff in the OSCE 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Category | Men | Women | Total | \% Women |  |
| General Service Staff | 766 | 659 | 1568 | $42 \%$ |  |
| Professional Staff | 447 | 412 | 859 | $48 \%$ |  |
| Management | 97 | 49 | 146 | $34 \%$ |  |
| Total | 1310 | 1120 | 2430 | $46 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category | Post Distribution of Staff in the OSCE 2012 |  |  |  |  |
| General Service Staff | Men | Women | Total | \% Women |  |
| Professional Staff | 839 | 729 | 1568 | $46 \%$ |  |
| Management | 483 | 436 | 919 | $47 \%$ |  |
| Total | 104 | 45 | 149 | $30 \%$ |  |

Note: figures as of May 2012 and May 2013 respectively

[^11]
## Table 2

| Post Distribution of the OSCE Staff, in OSCE Secretariat, Institutions and Field Operations 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Secretariat and Institutions Staff |  |  |  |  |
| Category | Men | Women | Total | \% Women |
| General Service Staff | 88 | 179 | 267 | 67\% |
| Professional Staff | 140 | 101 | 241 | 42\% |
| Higher Management | 24 | 14 | 38 | 37\% |
| Total | 252 | 294 | 546 | 54\% |
| Field Operations Staff |  |  |  |  |
| Category | Men | Women | Total | \% Women |
| General Service Staff | 678 | 480 | 1158 | 41\% |
| Professional Staff | 307 | 311 | 618 | 50\% |
| Higher Management | 73 | 35 | 108 | 32\% |
| Total | 1058 | 826 | 1884 | 44\% |
| Grand Total | 1310 | 1120 | 2430 | 46\% |

Note: figures as of 1 May 2013

## Table 3

| Post Distribution of Seconded Female Staff in the OSCE Field Operations 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Category | $\mathbf{S 1}$ | $\mathbf{S 2}$ | S3 | S4 |
| OSCE Mission to Bosnia and Herzegovina | 13 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| OSCE Mission to Kosovo | 21 | 18 | 5 | 1 |
| OSCE Mission to Skopje | 6 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Grand Total | 40 | 26 | 13 | 2 |

Note: figures as of 1 May 2013

## Table 4

| Post Distribution in the OSCE Secretariat 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | $\begin{array}{r} \text { G1- } \\ \text { G7 } \end{array}$ | G in \% | S | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{S} \text { in } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | P1-P5 | D1 | D2 | SG | $\begin{array}{r} \text { P+ } \\ \text { in\% } \end{array}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { in } \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Women | 122 | 64\% | 14 | 37\% | 54 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 40\% | 190 | 52\% |
| Men | 68 | 36\% | 24 | 63\% | 80 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 60\% | 172 | 48\% |
| Total | 190 | 100\% | 38 | 100\% | 134 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 100\% | 362 | 100\% |
| Post Distribution in the OSCE Secretariat 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category | G1- | G in \% | S | S in | P1-P5 | D1 | D2 | SG | P+ | Total | Total |


|  | G7 |  |  | \% |  |  |  |  | in\% |  | in \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Women | 122 | $65 \%$ | 13 | $30 \%$ | 53 | 0 | 2 | 0 | $40 \%$ | 190 | $51 \%$ |
| Men | 67 | $35 \%$ | 30 | $70 \%$ | 77 | 2 | 4 | 1 | $60 \%$ | 181 | $49 \%$ |
| Total | 189 | $100 \%$ | 43 | $100 \%$ | 130 | 2 | 6 | 1 | $100 \%$ | 371 | $100 \%$ |

Note: figures as of 1 May 2012 and 1 May 2013 respectively

## Table 5

| Post Distribution in the Office for Democratic Institutions and Human Rights 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | $\begin{array}{r} \text { G1- } \\ \text { G7 } \end{array}$ | G in \% | S | S in \% | P1-P5 | D1 | D2 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Head } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Inst. } \end{array}$ | P+in\% | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { in } \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Women | 42 | 68\% | 6 | 55\% | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52\% | 79 | 59\% |
| Men | 20 | 32\% | 5 | 45\% | 29 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 48\% | 54 | 41\% |
| Total | 62 | 100\% | 11 | 100\% | 60 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100\% | 133 | 100\% |
| Post Distribution on the Office for Democratic Institutions and Human Rights 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category | $\begin{array}{r} \text { G1- } \\ \text { G7 } \end{array}$ | G in \% | S | S in \% | P1-P5 | D1 | D2 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Head } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Inst. } \end{array}$ | P+ in\% | Total | Total in \% |
| Women | 42 | 71\% | 9 | 75\% | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46\% | 77 | 60\% |
| Men | 17 | 29\% | 3 | 25\% | 29 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 54\% | 51 | 40\% |
| Total | 59 | 100\% | 12 | 100\% | 55 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100\% | 128 | 100\% |

Note: figures as of 1 May 2012 and 1 May 2013 respectively

## Table 6

| Post Distribution in the Office of the High Commissioner on National Minorities 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | G1-G7 | G in \% | S | S in \% | P1-P5 | D1 | D2 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Head } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Inst. } \end{array}$ | P+in\% | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { in \% } \end{aligned}$ |
| Women | 10 | 100\% | 3 | 60\% | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 23\% | 16 | 57\% |
| Men | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 40\% | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 77\% | 12 | 43\% |
| Total | 10 | 100\% | 5 | 100\% | 13 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100\% | 28 | 100\% |
| Post Distribution in the Office of the High Commissioner on National Minorities 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category | G1-G7 | G in \% | S | S in \% | P1-P5 | D1 | D2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Head } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Inst. } \end{gathered}$ | P+ in\% | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { in } \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Women | 10 | 100\% | 3 | 40\% | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 33\% | 18 | 60\% |
| Men | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 60\% | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 67\% | 12 | 40\% |
| Total | 10 | 100\% | 5 | 100\% | 13 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100\% | 30 | 100\% |

Note: figures as of 1 May 2012 and 1 May 2013 respectively

## Table 7

| Post Distribution in the Office of the Representative on Freedom of the Media 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | G1-G7 | G in \% | S | S in \% | P1-P5 | D1 | D2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Head } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Inst. } \end{gathered}$ | P+ in\% | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { in } \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Women | 3 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 80\% | 7 | 62\% |
| Men | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 100\% | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20\% | 5 | 42\% |
| Total | 3 | 100\% | 4 | 100\% | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100\% | 12 | 100\% |
| Post Distribution in the Office of the Representative on Freedom of the Media 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category | G1-G7 | G in \% | S | S in \% | P1-P5 | D1 | D2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Head } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Inst. } \end{gathered}$ | P+ in\% | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { in \% } \end{gathered}$ |
| Women | 3 | 100\% | 2 | 50\% | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 57\% | 9 | 62\% |
| Men | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 50\% | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 43\% | 5 | 36\% |
| Total | 3 | 100\% | 4 | 100\% | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100\% | 14 | 100\% |

Note: figures as of 1 May 2012 and 1 May 2013 respectively

## Table 8

| Seconded Staff in the OSCE Secretariat, Institutions and Field Operations by Seconding Country <br> and Sex 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Seconding Authority | $\%$ <br> Women | Men | Women | Total Seconded <br> Staff |  |
| 1. United States of America | $40 \%$ | 35 | 23 | 58 |  |
| 2. Italy | $40 \%$ | 24 | 16 | 40 |  |
| 3. Spain | $60 \%$ | 6 | 9 | 15 |  |
| 4. Austria | $56 \%$ | 7 | 9 | 16 |  |
| 5. France | $42 \%$ | 11 | 8 | 19 |  |
| 6. United Kingdom | $32 \%$ | 17 | 8 | 25 |  |
| 7. Germany | $25 \%$ | 24 | 8 | 32 |  |
| 8. Croatia | $100 \%$ | 0 | 6 | 6 |  |
| 9. Greece | $80 \%$ | 1 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 10. Bosnia and Herzegovina | $50 \%$ | 4 | 4 | 8 |  |
| 11. Czech Republic | $40 \%$ | 6 | 4 | 10 |  |
| 12. Canada | $36 \%$ | 7 | 4 | 11 |  |
| 13. Sweden | $31 \%$ | 9 | 4 | 13 |  |
| 14. Slovakia | $75 \%$ | 1 | 3 | 4 |  |
| 15. Georgia | $50 \%$ | 3 | 3 | 6 |  |


| 16. Finland | 50\% | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17. Poland | 38\% | 5 | 3 | 8 |
| 18. Bulgaria | 33\% | 6 | 3 | 9 |
| 19. Hungary | 30\% | 7 | 3 | 10 |
| 20. Armenia | 100\% | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 21. Estonia | 67\% | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 22. Ukraine | 50\% | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 23. Moldova, Republic of | 33\% | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| 24. Norway | 25\% | 6 | 2 | 8 |
| 25. Macedonia, The Former Yugoslav Republic of | 22\% | 7 | 2 | 9 |
| 26. Romania | 100\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 27. Latvia | 100\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 28. Portugal | 50\% | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 29. Montenegro | 50\% | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 30. Serbia | 50\% | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 31. Kazakhstan | 50\% | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 32. Slovenia | 33\% | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 33. Netherlands | 33\% | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 34. Denmark | 33\% | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 35. Russian Federation | 8\% | 11 | 1 | 12 |
| 36. Turkey | 8\% | 12 | 1 | 13 |
| 37. Ireland | 7\% | 13 | 1 | 14 |
| 38. Iceland | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 39. Japan | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 40. Belarus | 0\% | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 41. Belgium | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 42. Kyrgyzstan | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 43. Malta | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 44. Tajikistan | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 45. Switzerland | 0\% | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| Grand Total | 37\% | 255 | 149 | 406 |

Note: figures as of 1 May 2013

## Table 9

| Gender Balance of Local Staff in OSCE field operations and General Services Staff in the OSCE <br> Secretariat and Institutions 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Field Operation | Women | In \% | Men | In \% | Total |
| OSCE Presence in Albania | 33 | $58 \%$ | 24 | $42 \%$ | 57 |
| OSCE Centre in Ashgabat | 7 | $37 \%$ | 12 | $63 \%$ | 19 |
| OSCE Centre in Astana | 15 | $63 \%$ | 9 | $38 \%$ | 24 |


| OSCE Centre in Baku | 13 | $54 \%$ | 11 | $46 \%$ | 24 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| OSCE Centre in Bishkek | 41 | $46 \%$ | 48 | $54 \%$ | 89 |
| OSCE Mission to Bosnia and <br> Herzegovina | 189 | $55 \%$ | 157 | $45 \%$ | 346 |
| OSCE Mission in Kosovo | 132 | $31 \%$ | 292 | $69 \%$ | 424 |
| OSCE Mission to Moldova | 21 | $57 \%$ | 16 | $43 \%$ | 37 |
| OSCE Mission to Montenegro | 18 | $58 \%$ | 13 | $42 \%$ | 31 |
| OSCE Mission to Serbia | 58 | $53 \%$ | 52 | $47 \%$ | 110 |
| OSCE Office in Tajikistan | 50 | $39 \%$ | 78 | $61 \%$ | 128 |
| OSCE Spillover Monitor Mission to <br> Skopje | 51 | $46 \%$ | 60 | $54 \%$ | 111 |
| OSCE Project Co-ordinator in Ukraine | 25 | $60 \%$ | 17 | $40 \%$ | 42 |
| OSCE Project Co-ordinator in <br> Uzbekistan | 6 | $35 \%$ | 11 | $65 \%$ | 17 |
| OSCE Office in Yerevan | 24 | $60 \%$ | 16 | $40 \%$ | 40 |
| Personal Repr. Of the CiO on the <br> Conflict dealt with by the Minsk <br> Conference | 5 | $50 \%$ | 5 | $50 \%$ |  |
| Secretariat | 122 | $64 \%$ | 68 | $36 \%$ | 10 |
| Institutions | 55 | $76 \%$ | 17 | $24 \%$ | 190 |
| Grand Total | 865 | $49 \%$ | 906 | $51 \%$ | 72 |

Note: figures as of 1 May 2013

## Table 10

| OSCE Parliamentary Assembly as of February 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Category | Women | In \% | Men | In \% | Total |
| OSCE PA Members | 85 | $29 \%$ | 207 | $71 \%$ | 292 |
| OSCE PA Alternate Members | 36 | $24 \%$ | 115 | $76 \%$ | 151 |
| OSCE PA Secretaries | 45 | $60 \%$ | 30 | $40 \%$ | 75 |
| OSCE PA Staff | 7 | $37 \%$ | 12 | $63 \%$ | 19 |
| Grand Total | 173 | $32 \%$ | 364 | $68 \%$ | 537 |

Note: figures as of 10 February 2014. Representatives of the Holy See not included in the figures. Data for Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan included to the extent available.

## Table 11

| Gender Balance of Bureau Members as of May 2014 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Category | Women | Men | Total |
| President | 0 | 1 | 1 |


| Vice-Presidents | 4 | 5 | 9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Treasurer | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| First Committee | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Second Committee | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Third Committee | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Grand Total | 9 | 11 | 20 |

Note: figures as of May 2014.

## Table 12

| Parliamentarian Participation in the OSCE PA Annual Sessions (2008-2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Category | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |
| Women | 45 | 43 | 50 | 55 | 61 | 68 |
| Men | 182 | 170 | 186 | 169 | 185 | 179 |
| \% Women | 20 | 20 | 21 | 24,5 | 25 | 28 |
| Grand Total | 227 | 213 | 236 | 224 | 246 | 247 |

Note: figures were calculated using only Members and Alternate members of country delegations. Staff of Delegations, the OSCE PA and the OSCE Secretariats, Observers, Guests, International Parliamentary Organizations and Partners for Co-operation were excluded from these calculations.

## Table 13

|  | Parliamentarian Participation in the OSCE PA Winter Meeting |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Category | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ |
| Women | 48 | 49 | 58 | 60 | 50 | 64 |
| Men | 192 | 174 | 172 | 180 | 159 | 151 |
| \% Women | 20 | 22 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 30 |
| Grand Total | 240 | 223 | 230 | 240 | 209 | 215 |

Note: figures were calculated using only Members and Alternate members of country delegations. Staff of Delegations, the OSCE PA and the OSCE Secretariats, Observers, Guests, International Parliamentary Organizations and Partners for Co-operation were excluded from these calculations.

Table 14

| OSCE PA Election Monitoring 2013-2014 | MPs | Women | \% of women |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Armenia 18 February 2013 | 18 | 3 | $16.7 \%$ |
| Bulgaria 12 May 2013 | 14 | 1 | $7.1 \%$ |
| Albania 23 June 2013 | 35 | 7 | $20 \%$ |
| Azerbaijan 9 October 2013 | 15 | 3 | $20 \%$ |


| Georgia 27 October 2013 | 51 | 16 | $31.4 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Tajikistan 6 November 2013 | 25 | 10 | $40 \%$ |
| Turkmenistan 15 December 2013 | 8 | 1 | $12.5 \%$ |
| Serbia 16 March 2014 | 38 | 12 | $31.6 \%$ |
| Hungary 6 April 2014 | 38 | 16 | $42.1 \%$ |
| Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia 27 April <br> 2014 | 23 | 9 | $39.1 \%$ |

Note: figures as of 22 May 2014

## Table 15

| Women in Parliament in OSCE countries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Glob al Rank | Country | Lower or single House |  |  | Upper House or Senate |  |  | Women OSCE PA Delegate Members |  |  |
|  |  | Seats | Women | \% | Seats | Women | \% | Members | Women | \% |
| 2 | Andorra | 28 | 14 | $\begin{gathered} 50.00 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | --- | --- | --- | 2 | 2 | 100\% |
| 4 | Sweden | 349 | 157 | $\begin{gathered} 45.00 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | --- | --- | --- | 8 | 5 | 63\% |
| 8 | Finland | 200 | 85 | $\begin{gathered} 42.50 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | --- | --- | --- | 6 | 2 | 33\% |
| 11 | Belgium | 150 | 62 | $\begin{gathered} 41.30 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 71 | 28 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 39 . \\ & 4 \% \end{aligned}$ | 8 | 6 | 75\% |
| 12 | Iceland | 63 | 25 | $\begin{gathered} 39.70 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | --- | --- | --- | 3 | 1 | 33\% |
| 12 | Spain | 350 | 139 | $\begin{gathered} 39.70 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 266 | 89 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 33 . \\ & 5 \% \end{aligned}$ | 10 | 1 | 10\% |
| 13 | Norway | 169 | 67 | $\begin{gathered} 39.60 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | --- | --- | --- | 6 | 2 | 33\% |
| 15 | Denmark | 179 | 70 | $\begin{gathered} 39.10 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | --- | --- | --- | 6 | 4 | 67\% |
| 16 | Netherlands | 150 | 58 | $\begin{gathered} 38.70 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 75 | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | 8 | 2 | 25\% |
| 21 | Germany | 631 | 230 | $\begin{gathered} 36.50 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 69 | 19 | $\begin{aligned} & 27 . \\ & 5 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 13 | 4 | 31\% |
| 24 | Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia | 123 | 42 | $\begin{gathered} 34.10 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | --- | --- | --- | 3 | 1 | 33\% |
| 26 | Slovenia | 90 | 30 | $\begin{gathered} 33.30 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 40 | 3 | $\begin{gathered} 7.5 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 3 | 1 | 33\% |
| 27 | Austria | 183 | 59 | $\begin{gathered} 32.20 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 62 | 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 29 . \\ & 0 \% \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 3 | 50\% |
| 30 | Italy | 630 | 198 | $\begin{gathered} 31.40 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 317 | 92 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 29 . \\ & 0 \% \end{aligned}$ | 13 | 3 | 23\% |
| 31 | Portugal | 230 | 72 | $\begin{gathered} 31.30 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | --- | --- | --- | 6 | 3 | 50\% |
| 33 | Switzerland | 200 | 62 | $\begin{gathered} 31.00 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 46 | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 19 . \\ & 6 \% \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 3 | 50\% |
| 37 | Luxembourg | 60 | 17 | $\begin{gathered} 28.30 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | --- | --- | --- | 5 | 1 | 20\% |
| 44 | Belarus | 109 | 29 | $\begin{gathered} 26.60 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 57 | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 35 . \\ & 1 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 3 | 50\% |
| 46 | Turkmenistan | 125 | 33 | 26.40 | --- | --- | --- | 3 | N/A | N/A |


|  |  |  |  | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47 | France | 577 | 151 | $\begin{gathered} 26.20 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 347 | 78 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 22 . \\ & 5 \% \end{aligned}$ | 13 | 1 | 8\% |
| 53 | Kazakhstan | 115 | 29 | $\begin{gathered} 25.50 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 47 | 3 | $\begin{gathered} 6.4 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 6 | 2 | 33\% |
| 54 | Canada | 307 | 77 | $\begin{gathered} 25.10 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 96 | 38 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 39 . \\ & 6 \% \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 2 | 33\% |
| 55 | Latvia | 100 | 25 | $\begin{gathered} 25.00 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | --- | --- | --- | 3 | 1 | 33\% |
| 56 | Bulgaria | 240 | 59 | $\begin{gathered} 24.60 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | --- | --- | --- | 5 | 1 | 20\% |
| 57 | Poland | 460 | 112 | $\begin{gathered} 24.30 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 100 | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 13 . \\ & 0 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 8 | 2 | 25\% |
| 58 | Lithuania | 141 | 34 | $\begin{gathered} 24.10 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | --- | --- | --- | 3 | 1 | 33\% |
| 60 | Croatia | 151 | 36 | $\begin{gathered} 23.80 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | --- | --- | --- | 3 | 2 | 67\% |
| 62 | Kyrgyzstan | 120 | 28 | $\begin{gathered} 23.30 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | --- | --- | --- | 3 | 1 | 33\% |
| 64 | United Kingdom | 650 | 147 | $\begin{gathered} 22.60 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 779 | 182 | $\begin{aligned} & 23 . \\ & 4 \% \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 2 | 17\% |
| 67 | Uzbekistan | 150 | 33 | $\begin{gathered} 20.00 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 100 | 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 . \\ & 0 \% \end{aligned}$ | 3 | N/A | N/A |
| 69 | Bosnia and Herzegovina | 42 | 9 | $\begin{gathered} 21.40 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 15 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 13 . \\ & 3 \% \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 0 | 0\% |
| 70 | Greece | 300 | 63 | $\begin{gathered} 21.00 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | --- | --- | --- | 6 | 0 | 0\% |
| 71 | Monaco | 24 | 5 | $\begin{gathered} 20.80 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | --- | --- | --- | 2 | 0 | 0\% |
| 74 | Albania | 140 | 28 | $\begin{gathered} 20.00 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | --- | --- | --- | 3 | 1 | 33\% |
| 74 | Liechtenstein | 25 | 5 | $\begin{gathered} 20.00 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | --- | --- | --- | 2 | 2 | 100\% |
| 76 | Czech Republic | 200 | 39 | $\begin{gathered} 19.50 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 81 | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 17 . \\ & 3 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 1 | 17\% |
| 79 | Estonia | 100 | 19 | $\begin{gathered} 19.00 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | --- | --- | --- | 3 | 1 | 33\% |
| 81 | Republic of Moldova | 101 | 19 | $\begin{gathered} 18.80 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | --- | --- | --- | 3 | 1 | 33\% |
| 82 | Slovakia | 150 | 28 | $\begin{gathered} 18.70 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | --- | --- | --- | 4 | 0 | 0\% |
| 84 | San Marino | 60 | 11 | $\begin{gathered} 18.30 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | --- | --- | --- | 2 | 1 | 50\% |
| 84 | United States of America | 432 | 79 | $\begin{gathered} 18.30 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 100 | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 20 . \\ & 0 \% \end{aligned}$ | 7 | 0 | 0\% |
| 91 | Tajikistan | 63 | 10 | $\begin{gathered} 15.90 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 34 | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11 . \\ & 8 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 3 | N/A | N/A |
| 93 | Ireland | 166 | 26 | $\begin{gathered} 15.70 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 60 | 19 | $\begin{aligned} & 31 . \\ & 7 \% \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 2 | 33\% |
| 94 | Azerbaijan | 122 | 19 | $\begin{gathered} 15.60 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | --- | --- | --- | 3 | 2 | 67\% |
| 96 | Mongolia | 74 | 11 | $\begin{gathered} 14.90 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | --- | --- | --- | 2 | 1 | 50\% |


| 97 | Montenegro | 81 | 12 | 14.80 <br> $\%$ | --- | --- | --- | 3 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 98 | Turkey | 548 | 79 | 14.40 <br> $\%$ | --- | --- | --- | 8 | 1 | $13 \%$ |
| 99 | Malta | 70 | 10 | 14.30 <br> $\%$ | --- | --- | --- | 3 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 101 | Russian <br> Federation | 450 | 61 | 13.60 <br> $\%$ | 163 | 13 | 8.0 <br> $\%$ | 14 | 2 | $14 \%$ |
| 102 | Romania | 407 | 55 | 13.50 <br> $\%$ | 176 | 13 | 7.4 <br> $\%$ | 7 | 2 | $29 \%$ |
| 109 | Cyprus | 56 | 7 | 12.5 <br> $\%$ | --- | --- | --- | 3 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 111 | Georgia | 150 | 18 | 12.00 <br> $\%$ | --- | --- | --- | 3 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 117 | Armenia | 131 | 14 | 10.70 <br> $\%$ | --- | --- | --- | 3 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 122 | Ukraine | 442 | 43 | 9.70 <br> $\%$ | --- | --- | --- | 8 | 2 | $25 \%$ |
| 124 | Hungary | 384 | 36 | 9.40 <br> $\%$ | --- | --- | --- | 6 | 1 | $17 \%$ |
| -- | Serbia ${ }^{24}$ | 250 | -- | -- | --- | --- | --- | 4 | 2 | $50 \%$ |

Note: figures obtained from http://www.ipu.org/wmn-e/classif.htm (situation as of 1 April2014)

Table 16

|  | Women in Parliament in OSCE Countries |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Single House or <br> Lower House | Upper House or <br> Senate | Both Houses <br> combined |
| Europe - OSCE member <br> countries including <br> Nordic countries | $24.90 \%$ | $22.80 \%$ | $24.40 \%$ |
| Europe - OSCE member <br> countries excluding <br> Nordic countries | $23.20 \%$ | $22.80 \%$ | $23.31 \%$ |

Note: figures obtained from http://www.ipu.org/wmn-e/classif.htm (situation as of 1 April 2014)
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    ${ }^{2}$ See Table 1 in Annexes
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[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ See Table 5 in Annexes
    ${ }^{7}$ See Table 6 in Annexes

[^4]:    ${ }^{8}$ See Table 7 in Annexes
    ${ }^{9}$ See Table 8 in Annexes

[^5]:    ${ }^{10}$ The OSCE PA Member Directory is available on request from the International Secretariat.
    ${ }^{11}$ See Table 10. Provided numbers include OSCE PA Members, Alternate Members, Secretaries and Staff.

[^6]:    ${ }^{12}$ See Table 10 in Annexes
    ${ }^{13}$ No data was available for Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan

[^7]:    ${ }^{14}$ No data was available for Bosnia and Herzegovina, the Netherlands, Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan.
    ${ }^{15}$ See Address by Dr. Hedy Fry, Special Representative on Gender Issues to the OSCE Parliamentary Assembly, $10^{\text {th }}$ Winter Meeting, 24-25 February 2011. Vienna, Austria

[^8]:    ${ }^{16}$ See Table 11 in Annexes
    ${ }^{17}$ See Table 12 in Annexes

[^9]:    ${ }^{18}$ See Table 13 in Annexes
    ${ }^{19}$ See OSCE PA Gender Balance Report; July 2013 and OSCE PA Gender Report, July 2013.

[^10]:    ${ }^{20}$ In the graph below "FYROM" refers to "Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia".
    ${ }^{21}$ See Table 14. Calculations for female participation were done excluding Staff of delegations and the International Secretariat.

[^11]:    ${ }^{22}$ See Table 15
    ${ }^{23}$ See Table 16

[^12]:    ${ }^{24}$ Figures for Serbia have not yet been updated since the most recent elections.

