

#### The Role of Eurojust Combating International Organised Crime

Benedikt Welfens Deputy to the National Member of Germany

## Why Eurojust ?



- Removal of frontier controls in EU states
- At least 27 different legal systems
- To improve action against cross-border crime
- Existing Mutual Legal Assistance & Extradition arrangements are often lengthy and uncertain
- Alternative to the European Prosecutor option

# Some of the Problems



- European Union Principle Freedom of Movement
  - People Capital Goods Services
  - Minimal, if any, frontier controls
  - Mobility & relatively cheap travel
  - Computer and internet 'cyber crime'
- Organised cross-border crime
  - Terrorism
  - Trafficking in Drugs
  - Trafficking in Human Beings
  - Serious Fraud (inc..fraud on EU Budget)
  - Car Theft
  - Corruption





- A group of 27 EU prosecutors / judges
   One nominated by each Member State
- Aim -
  - 'to deal more effectively with serious cross border crime, particularly when it is organised, and involves two or more Member States' - JHA Council Decision of 14 December 2000



# Eurojust's Powers



- Power to request competent authorities :
  - to investigate or prosecute specific acts\*
  - to accept that one country is better placed to prosecute than another<sup>#</sup>
  - to co-ordinate with one another
  - to set up a Joint Investigation Team
  - to provide Eurojust with any information necessary to carry out its tasks\*

### Aims



- Improve <u>co-operation</u> between Competent Authorities in Member States
- Bring better <u>co-ordination</u> of cross-border investigations and prosecutions
- Exchange of information
- <u>To make recommendations to change laws</u> to improve MLA & Extradition arrangements

# How Eurojust Works



- Case Referrals
- Working Methods
- Adding Value
- Strategic Meetings
- Co-ordination Meetings
- Cooperation with Europol

### Integrated Approach to Tackling Organised Crime



- Cross-border crime needs a whole case approach
- Need for co-ordinated action police investigators prosecutors and others
- Too often find reasons for not integrating action
- Whatever the systems judicial input is powerful and necessary for effective results

Cases Referred			
1. March -	31. December 2001	=	192
1.January -	31. December 2002	=	202
1. January -	31. December 2003	=	300
1. January -	31. December 2004	=	382
1. January -	31. December 2005	=	589
1. January -	31. December 2006	=	769
1. January -	31. December 2007	=	1062

#### THB

- There are a total of 207 cases registered in Eurojust between 1st January 2004 and 15th September 2008.
- The following table shows the cases of THB registered in Eurojust, per year and per country:





#### Case example

- Illegal Immigration Crime
- Eurojust made a significant contribution to an illegal immigration crime case referred by the UK.
- It involved Turkish organized crime groups allegedly engaged in the smuggling of large numbers
- of Turkish Kurds into the UK. This group was under investigation by the Metropolitan Police in
  London, The UK operation had links to a number of other countries, primarily Belgium, but also
- Italy, France and Denmark. The UK had made a large number of MLA requests for evidence
- from these countries and the swift execution and facilitation of these was one of the reasons
- for the referral of the case to Eurojust. Moreover, as the UK operation was moving towards an
- enforcement phase, the UK investigators and prosecutors were keen to ensure that any arrests
- they carried out in the UK were coordinated with the arrests of the suspects in the other involved
- countries, not only to maximize the effect but also in an effort to dismantle the entire organized
- people-smuggling network across all the countries in which it existed and operated. After arrest,
  exchange of information and evidence would be required in preparation for prosecution and.
- exchange of information and evidence would be required in preparatio therefore, for all of these reasons, the case was referred to Eurojust.
- A co-ordination meeting was held at Eurojust in May 2005. The UK, Belgium, France, Germany, Italy,
- The Netherlands and Europol were represented. Amongst other things, a common enforcement
- · date for arrests was discussed and agreed in principle. Ancillary issues, such as liaison with the
- Turkish authorities and a media strategy following the arrests, were also explored.

#### Working at Different Levels



- Level 1
  - Plenary Meeting of all 27 National Members
  - Twice each week
- <u>Level 2</u>
  - Only those national members involved in a case
- <u>Level 3</u>
  - Investigators & prosecutors dealing with a case
  - Cooperation with EUROPOL and therefore access to all EU-Police Authorities and Information

## Areas of Added Value



- 1 Permanent Mutual Legal Assistance Network
- 2 Empowered MLA Network
- 3 Facilities Casework Meetings & Conference covering the costs of travelling and hotel
- 4 Voice Influencing Law-Makers & Others
- 5 Casework Examples
- 6 Cooperation and exchange of information with EUROPOL
- 7 Public relation for the European idea

### Permanent MLA Network



- Permanently Based in Premises in The Hague
- 27 National Members always available
- Clarity, Speed, and Certainty in MLA issues
- Resources, staff and equipment
- Eurojust has Legal Personality



## How do you reach us ?

National Member Michael Grotz mgrotz@eurojust.europa.eu Tel. +31 70 412 5130

Deputy Benedikt Welfens Tel. +31 70 412 5135 bwelfens@eurojust.europa.eu

Assistant Jörg Schröder Tel. +31 70 412 5134 jschroeder@eurojust.europa.eu

Sekretariat (Germany): Tel. +31 70 412 5132

Fax: +31 70 412 5131 www.eurojust.europa.eu